IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE GERBER PRODUCTS COMPANY: Master File No. 1:21-cv-0269

HEAVY METALS BABY FOOD

LITIGATION : Class Action

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: : Jury Trial Demanded

Keeter v. Gerber Products : Case No. 1:21-cv-0269

Company

Moore v. Gerber Products : Case No. 1:21-cv-00277

Company

Hazely, et al. v. Gerber Products : Case No. 1:21-cv-00321

Company

Bryan, et al. v. Gerber Products : Case No. 1:21-cv-00349

Company

Adams v. Gerber Products : Case No. 1:21-cv-00410

Company

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED MOTION OF PLAINTIFFS TO CONSOLIDATE ACTIONS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 42(a)(2)

Plaintiffs in each of the above-captioned actions (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by their counsel, respectfully submit this brief in support of their motion to consolidate these actions, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a)(2). Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(E), counsel for the parties' conferred regarding the substance of Plaintiffs' motion and defense counsel have represented that they do not oppose Plaintiffs' motion to consolidate these actions.

This Court has previously consolidated the two first-filed cases *Keeter* and *Moore*, in a Consolidation Order entered on March 15, 2021 (ECF No. 8), designating the combined cases as

In re Gerber Product Company Metal Baby Foods Litigation, Master File No. 1:21-cv-0269 (the "Consolidated Action"). Subsequently, on May 12, 2021, plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action, as well as the plaintiffs in the Hazely, Bryan and Adams cases and other purchasers of Gerber Products Company ("Gerber") baby goods products, filed a Consolidated Class Action Complaint, thereby putting the claims of the plaintiffs in the Hazely, Bryan and Adams cases before this Court in the Consolidated Action. (ECF No. 23). Accordingly, it will further the interests of judicial efficiency to consolidate the Hazely, Bryan and Adams cases with the Keeter and Moore actions in this Consolidated Action,

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42, This Court Has Broad Power to Consolidate Actions in this Court

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) states, "[i]f actions before the court involve a common question of law or fact, the court may... (2) consolidate the actions." The Fourth Circuit has held that "District courts have broad discretion under F.R.Civ.P. 42(a) to consolidate causes pending in the same district." *A/S J. Ludwig Mowinckles Rederi v. Tidewater Construction Corp.*, 559 F.2d 928, 933 (4th Cir. 1977). The Fourth Circuit further held that in exercising its discretion, the district court's analysis is guided by the following criteria:

The critical question for the district court in the final analysis was whether the specific risks of prejudice and possible confusion were overborne by the risk of inconsistent adjudications of common factual and legal issues, the burden on parties, witnesses and available judicial resources posed by multiple lawsuits, the length of time required to conclude multiple suits as against a single one, and the relative expense to all concerned of the single-trial, multiple-trial alternatives. See Fed.R.Civ.P. 42; see generally 9 C. Wright & A. Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure: Civil §2383 (1971).

Arnold v. Eastern Air Lines, Inc., 681 F.2d 186, 193 (4th Cir. 1982).

Each of the above-captioned actions have been filed in the District in which Defendant Gerber has its corporate headquarters, at 1812 North Moore Street, Rosslyn, Virginia, a part of Arlington County. The actions arise from the same events and present numerous common factual

and legal questions relating to the subject baby food products manufactured by Gerber ("the Baby Food Products"), including the following as outlined in Plaintiffs' respective complaints:

- a. Whether Defendant owed a duty of care;
- b. Whether Defendant knew or should have known that the Baby Food Products contained heavy metals;
- c. Whether the Baby Food Products contain dangerous levels of toxic heavy metals;
- d. Whether the marketing, advertising, packaging, and other promotional materials for the Baby Food Products are deceptive;
- e. Whether Defendant's actions violate the state consumer fraud statutes identified in the complaints;
- f. Whether Defendant's actions constitute common law fraud;
- g. Whether Plaintiffs and members of the Classes were damaged by Defendant's conduct as alleged in the complaints;
- h. Whether Defendant was unjustly enriched at the expense of Plaintiff and the members of the Classes; and
- i. Whether Plaintiff and the members of the Classes are entitled to injunctive relief.

See Consolidated Class Action Complaint, ¶ 232. The above-captioned actions all demand a trial by jury.

Moreover, as noted above, the Plaintiffs in each of the above-captioned actions have already joined as Plaintiffs and proposed class and sub-class representatives in the Consolidated Class Action Complaint filed in this Consolidated Action on May 12, 2021. Given the breadth of

3

¹ There are three other cases brought in this District by alleged purchasers of Gerber Baby Food Products (*Wilson, et al. v. Gerber Products Company*, Case No. 1:21-cv-00372; *Bryan, et al. v. Gerber Products Company*, Case No. 1:21-cv-00478; and *Cantor, et al. v. Gerber Products Company*, Case No. 1:21-cv-00489), whose plaintiffs and their counsel chose not to have their claims included in the Consolidated Complaint. Thus, although those three actions also contain numerous common factual and legal questions similar to the common factual and legal questions identified above, Plaintiffs and the Defendant in the *Keeter, Moore, Hazely, Bryan* and *Adams*

these common issues raised in these already related actions, "the risk of inconsistent adjudications of common factual and legal issues, the burden on parties, witnesses and available judicial resources posed by multiple lawsuits, the length of time required to conclude multiple suits as against a single one" all weigh in favor of consolidation. *Arnold v. Eastern Air Lines, supra*, 681 F.2d at 193.

Plaintiffs accordingly submit that consolidating these actions will lead to more efficient litigation before this Court.

Conclusion

For each and all the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs' unopposed motion for consolidation is well-taken and should be granted.

Dated: May 20, 2021 Bonnett Fairbourn Friedman & Balint, PC

By: /s/ Francis J. Balint, Jr.
Francis J. Balint, Jr.
Joshua Gunnell House, Suite 4
4023 Chain Bridge Road
Fairfax, VA 22030
Telephone: (602) 776-5903

Fax: (602) 274-1199 fbalint@bffb.com

and

Elaine A. Ryan
Patricia N. Syverson
2325 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 300
Phoenix, AZ 85016
Telephone: (602) 274-1100

Fax: (602) 274-1199 <u>eryan@bffb.com</u> <u>psyverson@bffb.com</u>

Plaintiff's Counsel in Keeter v. Gerber Products Company

cases are not seeking through this uncontested motion the consolidation of the 00372, 00478 or 00489 cases into the Consolidated Action at this time.

Bonnett Fairbourn Friedman & Balint PC

/s/Francis J. Balint, Jr. Francis J. Balint, Jr. Joshua Gunnell House, Suite 4 4023 Chain Bridge Road Fairfax, VA 22030 Telephone: (602) 776-5903

Fax: (602) 274-1199 fbalint@bffb.com

and

Elaine A. Ryan Patricia N. Syverson 2325 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 300 Phoenix, AZ 85016 Telephone: (602) 274-1100 Fax: (602) 274-1199

eryan@bffb.com psyverson@bffb.com

Barrack, Rodos & Bacine

Mark R. Rosen Jeffrey W. Golan William J. Ban Julie B. Paley 3300 Two Commerce Square 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 963-0600 Fax: (215) 963-0838 mrosen@barrack.com jgolan@barrack.com wban@barrack.com jpaley@barrack.com

and

Stephen R. Basser 600 West Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 230-0800 Fax: (619) 230-1874

sbasser@barrack.com

Emerson Firm, PLLC

John G. Emerson 2500 Wilcrest, Suite 300 Houston, TX 77042 Telephone: (800)-551-8649

Fax: (501)-286-4659

jemerson@emersonfirm.com

Plaintiff's Counsel in Moore v. Gerber Products Company

GEORGE GESTEN MCDONALD, PLLC

/s/ Christopher W. McDonald Christopher W. McDonald VA Bar No. 33092 5516 Falmouth Street, Suite 108 Richmond, VA 23230 Telephone: (804) 256-2908

Fax: (888) 421-4173

CMcDonald@4-Justice.com

E-Service: eService@4-Justice.com

GEORGE GESTEN MCDONALD, PLLC

David J. George 9897 Lake Worth Road, Suite #302 Lake Worth, FL 33467 Telephone: (561) 232-6002 Fax: (888) 421-4173

GEORGE GESTEN MCDONALD, PLLC

Lori G. Feldman 102 Half Moon Bay Drive Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520 Telephone: (917) 983-9321 Fax: (888) 421-4173

LFeldman@4-justice.com

DGeorge@4-Justice.com

E-Service: eService@4-Justice.com

CALCATERRA POLLACK LLP

Janine L. Pollack 1140 Avenue of the Americas 9th Floor New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (917) 899-1765

Fax: (332) 206-2073

jpollack@calcaterrapollack.com

Plaintiffs' Counsel in Hazely v. Gerber Products Company

DURRETTE, ARKEMA, GERSON & GILL PC

By: /s/ Wyatt B. Durrette, Jr.
Wyatt B. Durrette, Jr. (VSB No. 04719)
Kevin J. Funk (VSB No. 65465)
1111 East Main Street, 16th Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 775-6900
Fax: (804) 775-6911

Fax: (804) 7/5-6911 wdurrette@dagglaw.com kfunk@dagglaw.com

GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC

Daniel E. Gustafson
Catherine Smith
Mary M. Nikolai
GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC
Canadian Pacific Plaza
120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Tel: (612) 333-8844
dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com
csmith@gustafsongluek.com
mnikolai@gustafsongluek.com

Kenneth A. Wexler
Kara A. Elgersma
WEXLER WALLACE, LLP
55 West Monroe, Suite 3300
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Tel: (312) 346-2222
kaw@wexlerwallace.com
kae@wexlerwallace.com

Simon B. Paris
Patrick Howard
SALTZ, MONGELUZZI & BENDESKY, P.C.
1650 Market Street, 52nd Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
Tel: (215) 575-3895
sparis@smbb.com
phoward@smbb.com

Kevin Landau Miles Greaves TAUS, CEBULASH & LANDAU, LLP 80 Maiden Lane, Suite 1204 New York, New York 10038 Tel: (212) 931-0704 klandau@tcllaw.com mgreaves@tcllaw.com

Matthew D. Schelkopf Lori G. Kier Davina C. Okonkwo SAUDER SCHELKOPF 1109 Lancaster Avenue Berwyn, Pennsylvania 19312 Tel: (610) 200-0581 mds@sstriallawyers.com lgk@sstriallawyers.com dco@sstriallawyers.com

THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.

E. Powell Miller Sharon S. Almonrode William Kalas (P82113) 950 West University Drive, Suite 300 Rochester, Michigan 48307 Tel: (248) 841-2200 epm@millerlawpc.com ssa@millerlawpc.com wk@millerlawpc.com

Plaintiffs' Counsel in Bryan v. Gerber Products **Company**

COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC

By: /s/ Steven J. Toll Steven J. Toll (VSB No. 15300) Douglas J. McNamara (pro hac vice forthcoming) Geoffrey A. Graber (pro hac vice forthcoming) Brian E. Johnson (pro hac vice forthcoming) Paul M. Stephan (pro hac vice forthcoming) 1100 New York Ave. NW East Tower, 5th Floor Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 408-4600

Facsimile: (202) 408-4699 stoll@cohenmilstein.com dmcnamara@cohenmilstein.com ggraber@cohenmilstein.com bejohnson@cohenmilstein.com pstephan@cohenmilstein.com

GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP

Rosemary M. Rivas (*pro hac vice* forthcoming) Mark Troutman (*pro hac vice* forthcoming) Rosanne L. Mah (*pro hac vice* forthcoming) 505 14th Street, Suite 110 Oakland, California 94612

Telephone: (510) 350-9700 Facsimile: (510) 350-9701 rmr@classlawgroup.com

Plaintiff's Counsel in Adams v. Gerber Products Company **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 20, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail

addresses denoted on the Electronic mail notice list.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the

foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 20, 2021.

/s/Francis J. Balint, Jr.

Francis J. Balint, Jr.

10